Being the Matrix Company, one of the most common questions we get is "which matrix do I use where?" While personal preference will drive much of the decision process, below is a chart that can provide a good starting point.

Procedure	Recommended Materials	Pros and Cons
Back-to-Back	BEST CHOICE: Quad Ring, Quad Wedge, Firm Band	Pros: Ring driver tip and split wedge work together. Firm Bands prevent deformation. Reduced patient chair time. Cons: Learning curve.
Wide Prep	BEST CHOICE: Strata-G Wide Prep Ring, Firm Band OPTION 2: 3D Fusion Wide Prep Ring, Firm Band OPTION 3: Reel Matrix	Pros: Smaller ring diameter. The only sectional ring that can perform on wide preps. Cons: Limited application. Not recommended for basic Class II. Pros: Similar performance to Strata-G. Cons: Larger ring diameter. Pros: For teeth too broken down for a sectional matrix system. Cons: Less ideal contour and contact compared to a sectional matrix solution.
Deep Prep		
E	BEST CHOICE: Quad Ring, Quad Wedge, and Firm Band OPTION 2: Strata-G Ring, Strata-G Wedge, and Firm Band	Pros: Split tip wedge sits deep in sulcus and is less likely to be pushed up by the gingiva. Cons: N/A Pros: Strata-G wedge sits deeper than most wedges. Cons: Strata-G wedge does not sit as deep as Quad.
Class III	BEST CHOICE: Anterior Fusion OPTION 2: Vari-Strip	Pros: Natural emergence profile and interproximal contours. Cons: Learning curve. Shape may not be appropriate for all teeth. (i.e. bulbous). Not cure through. Pros: Simple. Cure through. Cons: Susceptible to deformation during use.
Class IV	BEST CHOICE: Anterior Fusion OPTION 2: Vari-Strip	Pros: Natural emergence profile and interproximal contours. Cons: Learning curve. Shape may not be appropriate for all teeth. (i.e. bulbous). Not cure through. Pros: Simple. Cure through. Cons: Susceptible to deformation during use.
Class V	BEST CHOICE: Blue View Cervical	Pros: Compresses composite into the restoration. Limited finishing required. Cons: N/A



Special Cases

Procedure	Recommended Materials	Pros and Cons
Deep Margin Elevation	BEST CHOICE: ReelMatrix, Deep Margin Elevation Band (P/N RM500H) OPTION 2: Deep Margin Elevation Tofflemire Band (P/N RM500H) with a standard tofflemire retainer	Pros: Ability to seal very deep lesions. Lightweight, retainerless. Cons: Will not restore whole tooth. Step one of a two step process. Pros: Ability to seal very deep lesions. Cons: Retainer can torque the band out of position.
Last Tooth in Arch/ Missing Adjacent Tooth	BEST CHOICE: ReelMatrix OPTION 2: Tofflemire Slickbands	Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Retainerless bands allow for simultaneous multi-tooth restoration. Cons: N/A Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Cons: Retainer can torque the band our of position. Cannot perform simultaneous multi-tooth restorations.
Core Buildup	BEST CHOICE: ReelMatrix OPTION 2: Tofflemire Slickbands	Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Retainerless bands allow for simultaneous multi-tooth restoration. Cons: N/A Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Cons: Retainer can torque the band our of position. Cannot perform simultaneous multi-tooth restorations.
Pedo	BEST CHOICE: Strata-G Universal Ring OPTION 2: ReelMatrix	Pros: Retention capability on shorter teeth. Cons: N/A Pros: Speed. Cons: Less ideal contour and contact to a sectional matrix solution.
Distal of Canine	BEST CHOICE: Strata-G Universal Ring OPTION 2: 3D Fusion Universal Ring OPTION 3: ReelMatrix	Pros: Retention capability. Cons: May not retain in all circumstances. Pros: Similar retention capability to the Strata-G. Cons: May not retain in all circumstances. Pros: ReelMatrix will work where a sectional matrix ring will not grip appropriately. Cons: Less ideal contour and contact to a sectional matrix solution.
Mal-Positioned	BEST CHOICE: Quad Ring	Pros: Adapts to tooth rotation. Cons: N/A

Being the Matrix Company, one of the most common questions we get is "which matrix do I use where?" While personal preference will drive much of the decision process, below is a chart that can provide a good starting point.

Procedure

Recommended Materials

Back-to-Back



BEST CHOICE: Quad Ring, Quad Wedge, Firm Band

Pros: Ring driver tip and split wedge work together. Firm Bands prevent deformation. Reduced patient chair time.

Cons: Learning curve.

Wide Prep



BEST CHOICE: Strata-G Wide Prep Ring, Firm Band

Pros: Smaller ring diameter. The only sectional ring that can perform on wide preps.

Cons: Limited application. Not recommended for basic Class II.

OPTION 2: 3D Fusion Wide Prep Ring, Firm Band

Pros: Similar performance to Strata-G.

Cons: Larger ring diameter.

OPTION 3: Reel Matrix

Pros: For teeth too broken down for a sectional matrix system.

Cons: Less ideal contour and contact compared to a sectional matrix solution.

Deep Prep



BEST CHOICE: Quad Ring, Quad Wedge, and Firm Band

Pros: Split tip wedge sits deep in sulcus and is less likely to be pushed up by the gingiva.

Cons: N/A

OPTION 2: Strata-G Ring, Strata-G Wedge, and Firm Band

Pros: Strata-G wedge sits deeper than most wedges. **Cons:** Strata-G wedge does not sit as deep as Quad.

Class III



BEST CHOICE: Anterior Fusion

Pros: Natural emergence profile and interproximal contours.

Cons: Learning curve. Shape may not be appropriate for all teeth. (i.e. bulbous). Not cure through.

OPTION 2: Vari-Strip

Pros: Simple. Cure through.

Cons: Susceptible to deformation during use.

Class IV



BEST CHOICE: Anterior Fusion

Pros: Natural emergence profile and interproximal contours.

Cons: Learning curve. Shape may not be appropriate for all teeth. (i.e. bulbous). Not cure through.

OPTION 2: Vari-Strip

Pros: Simple. Cure through.

Cons: Susceptible to deformation during use.

Class V



BEST CHOICE: Blue View Cervical

Pros: Compresses composite into the restoration. Limited finishing required.

Cons: N/A

Special Cases

Procedure	Recommended Materials	
Deep Margin Elevation	BEST CHOICE: ReelMatrix, Deep Margin Elevation Band (P/N RM500H) Pros: Ability to seal very deep lesions. Lightweight, retainerless. Cons: Will not restore whole tooth. Step one of a two step process. OPTION 2: Deep Margin Elevation Tofflemire Band (P/N RM500H) with a standard tofflemire retainer Pros: Ability to seal very deep lesions. Cons: Retainer can torque the band out of position.	
Last Tooth in Arch/ Missing Adjacent Tooth	BEST CHOICE: ReelMatrix Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Retainerless bands allow for simultaneous multi-tooth restoration. Cons: N/A OPTION 2: Tofflemire Slickbands Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Cons: Retainer can torque the band our of position. Cannot perform simultaneous multi-tooth restorations.	
Core Buildup	BEST CHOICE: ReelMatrix Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Retainerless bands allow for simultaneous multi-tooth restoration. Cons: N/A OPTION 2: Tofflemire Slickbands Pros: Circumferential band can be placed without the presence of adjacent dentition. Cons: Retainer can torque the band our of position. Cannot perform simultaneous multi-tooth restorations.	
Pedo	BEST CHOICE: Strata-G Universal Ring Pros: Retention capability on shorter teeth. Cons: N/A OPTION 2: ReelMatrix Pros: Speed. Cons: Less ideal contour and contact to a sectional matrix solution.	
Distal of Canine	Pros: Retention capability. Cons: May not retain in all circumstances. OPTION 2: 3D Fusion Universal Ring Pros: Similar retention capability to the Strata-G. Cons: May not retain in all circumstances. OPTION 3: ReelMatrix Pros: ReelMatrix will work where a sectional matrix ring will not grip appropriately. Cons: Less ideal contour and contact to a sectional matrix solution.	
Mal-Positioned	BEST CHOICE: Quad Ring Pros: Adapts to tooth rotation. Cons: N/A	

